	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/694,677	KATAGIRI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra M. Nolan	1772
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sandra M. Nolan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Anne M. Kornbau</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>06 July 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 23		
Prior art documents discussed: None	₩	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  New claim 23 was misnumbered. The examiner will renumber it by Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)